Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437

Accepted: 16 March 2020

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of a cXJÚYX a UN/FJU 'fYei JYgk fJWb dYfa Jgg]cb"

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:47	17-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		418

Conclusion: Obesity leads to ovarian leptin resistance and major time-dependent changes in gene expression in CCs, which in early obesity may be caused by increased leptin signalling in h\Y`cj Ufmžk \YfYUg']b``UhY`cVYg]mUfY``]_Y`mhc`VY`U`WzbgYei YbW`cZa YhUvc`]WW\Ub[Yg'HU_]b[`place in the obese mother.

Obesity is considered one of the major public health challenges of modern times and has been linked to various comorbidities, such as metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, cancer, stroke [1] and infertility [2]. Obese women have increased risk of menstrual dysfunctions and anovulation, pregnancy complications, and poor reproductive outcome [3]. In mouse models, obesity is characterised by lipid accumulation in the ovary and ensuing lipotoxicity [4] and

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020	419

Animal protocol Breeding pairs from mouse strains C57BL/6J (B6) and B6.Cg-Lep

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

For mRNA extraction, either whole ovaries or TC fraction were collected from mice in oestrus stage, placed in 1 ml of TRI Reagent in 1.5 ml eppendorf tubes (n=8/ group) and mechanically disrupted with a lancet. The suspension was pipetted up and down vigorously and incubated for 5 minutes (min) at RT. After $\overset{\circ}{\longrightarrow} {}^{\circ} \mathbb{D} \, \{ \overset{\circ}{=} t \neg_{i} \, \overset{\otimes}{=} s^{\circ} \tilde{s}^{a \circ 3} \, \check{s}^{- \circ} \overset{\otimes}{=} c^{\circ} \overset{\otimes}{=} c^{\circ} \overset{\otimes}{=} \dot{s}^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=} s^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=} i \, \check{s}^{a} \overset{\circ}{=} v^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=} s^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=} t^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=} v^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=} s^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{=$.£. œ^a°®¥€£š°¥^a with 100 µl of 1-Bromo-3-chloropropane (BCP, BP151, Molecular Research Centre, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA), $\overset{\circ}{\otimes} \overset{\circ}{\otimes} \overset{\circ}{i} \overset{\circ}{Y} \overset{\circ}{\mu} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{W} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{\mu} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{\mu} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{\mu} \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ$ ۰£. °©¥ šaŸ the aqueous phase transferred to a new tube, before being mixed with an equal volume of isopropanol and ¥20€>š°;Ÿš° ૃ`¢k® [™]©¥^a[™]^a«°¤¦®op^a°®¥∈£š°¥^a. £. `©¥°°«¬¦¨;°Ÿ«³å°¤¦&"°³¤¥bø was then washed three times with 75% ethanol and incubated overnight at -80°C. Next day, samples were £ ©¥ šaŸ°¤j & °¬j ¨j °Ÿ@¥ŸšaŸ@ ±¬j aŸjŸ¥ · · · «¢&" ° j @j 3 š°j® oe^a°®¥6±£iŸ $" \underbrace{ \mathbb{C}} \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{S}} \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{Y}} \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{C}} \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{Y}} \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{Y}$ + Finally, RNA quality and concentration were assessed with NanoDrop. Absorbance ratio at 260 nm and 280

A total of 1 µg of RNA was reversely transcribed using Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for & š``°¥©_i`¬«`µ©_i`\$`_i``œš¥``®`šœ\$¥``®`šœ\$`Ž```(`¤_i`®`«``°¤_i``©š^a±\$cô±®®``` instructions. The cDNA was stored at -20°C until the real-time PCR was carried out.

Real-time polymerase chain reaction

Real-time PCR was performed in a 7900 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) $\pm^{-} \Upsilon \pounds^{1} \delta^{-} \Upsilon \oplus^{3} + \mathbb{C}^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{+} \delta^{+} \delta^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{-} \delta^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{+} \delta^{+} \delta^{-} \delta^{+} \delta^{+}$

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020	421

pSTAT5 (1:200, sc-81524, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), GP against PTP1B (1:200, sc-1718, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), MM against SOCS3 (1:500, sc-51699, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in cell lysates. The results ·!! · ° `'¥C©Š °`Ÿ®¥2@ `````Š^a°¥ «Ÿ¥ ^{- -}¬¡O¥¥Š°¥ ^{a -} Š® ' ³¦[®] · ^a «[®][©]š[•]¥¦^ÿ · ³¥[¤] · šoe¥ summarised in Table 2. Proteins were detected after incubation of the membranes with secondary GP antirabbit alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody (1:30000, A3687, Sigma Aldrich), GP anti-mouse alkaline · $\neg \alpha \langle \neg \gamma \rangle \langle \delta \rangle$ `(¤; [®]© « ' o¥ ^a°¥¥e &\$`š^a°¥£«š° š`§š`¥; ¬¤«[¬]¬¤š°š⁻; conjugated (1:30000, A4187, Sigma Aldrich), or RP anti-goat horseradish peroxidase- conjugated antibody (1:75000, A50-100P, Bethyl, Montgomery, Alabama, USA) for 2 h at RT. Immune complexes were visualized using the alkaline phosphatase visualization procedure or ECL substrate visualization. Blots were scanned
$$\label{eq:selection} \begin{split} & \ensuremath{ \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{f}}} : s \ensuremath{ \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{f}} : s \ensuremath{ \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{f}}} : s \ensuremath{ \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{f}}} : s \ensuremath{ \overset{\circ}{$$
 $-\pm \check{s}^{a}\circ ¥ \check{Y} \pm^{-} \mathring{P} \pounds E \check{E} \check{s} \dot{t} \check{z} \check{s} \cdot \overset{\prime}{\ast} \langle \mathfrak{C}^{3} \check{s} \mathfrak{B} \overset{\prime}{\cdot} \overset{\prime}{\Psi} \& \check{s} \overset{\prime}{Y} \not/ \check{P} \check{s} \overset{\prime}{\cdot} \overset{\prime}{\mu} \dot{s} \overset{a}{Y} \overset{\prime}{Y} \check{i} \overset{a}{}^{-} \check{F} \mu \check{\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \check{s} \mathfrak{O} \overset{\prime}{\sigma} \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{R}} \circ \check{i} \overset{\mathfrak{F}}{\mathfrak{I}} \check{s} \overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{I}} \check{s} \overset{\mathfrak{F}}{\mathfrak{I}} \check{s} \overset{\iota}{\mathfrak{I}} \overset{\bullet}{\mathfrak{I}} \check{s} \overset{\bullet}{\mathfrak{I}} \overset{\bullet}{\mathfrak{I}} \overset{\bullet}{\mathfrak{I}} \check{s} \overset{\bullet}{\mathfrak{I}} \overset{\bullet}{\mathfrak{I}}$ šœ¥

Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescent staining

 $= \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{ \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{x}}_{i} \overset{\circ}{$

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54	4:417-437	-
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		422

RP anti-PTP1B antibody (1:500, ab189179, Abcam) added overnight at 4°C. The negative control sections were incubated with RP anti-immunoglobulin G (IgG, ab37415, Abcam) or without primary antibody. The primary antibody complexes were detected after incubating the tissue with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (ab64261, Abcam) for 60 min, and streptavidin peroxidase for 40 min. Staining was evident after

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54	4:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		423

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

RNA-seq library generation

$$\label{eq:solution} \begin{split} & \#^a \phi_{e}^{\circ \circ} \pi_{i} & \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \$ \left[j \right]^{\circ} \oplus \frac{1}{2} a^{\circ} i \right]^{\circ} \forall \forall i \\ & \oplus \frac{1}{2} a^{\circ} \phi \notin \frac{1}{2} a^{\circ} \phi \notin \frac{1}{2} a^{\circ} \phi \notin \frac{1}{2} a^{\circ} \phi \oplus \frac{1}{2} a^$$

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54	4:417-437	-
DOI: 10.33594/00000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		424

Statement of Ethics

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;5	4:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		425

The establishment of leptin resistance in the ovary of diet induced obese mice. (A) Experimental design: animals were maintained on chow diet (CD) or high fat diet (HFD) for 4 weeks (wk) or 16 wk. Protein abundance of components of the leptin signalling pathway in ovarian extracts analysed by Western blot or real-time PCR (RT-PCR). Abundance of (B) SOCS3 protein, (C) phosphorylation of STAT3, (D) leptin receptor (ObR), (E) phosphorylation of tyrosine 985 of leptin receptor, (F) phosphorylation of Janus kinase 2, (G) phosphorylation of STAT5. (H) Heatmap showing fold change in expression of mRNA of leptin signalling components measured in whole ovary or theca and stroma enriched (TC) fraction determined by RT-PCR. Immunohistochemical localisation of SOCS3 protein during follicle development in ovaries of mice subjected to diet-induced obesity (4 wk and 16 wk). Positive staining in brown, counterstaining with heamatoxylin. Negative control stained with polyclonal rabbit IgG (I) 4 wk CD and (J) 4 wk HFD, localisation of SOCS3 in primary follicle (K) 4 wk CD and (L) 4 wk HFD, antral follicles (M) 16 wk CD and (N) 16 wk HFD. Staining is present in oocyte, granulosa and theca cells. Oval-headed arrow indicates oocyte; large-headed arrow indicates granulosa cells and small-headed arrow indicates theca cells. Scale bars represent 100 µm. nuclear counterstaining with DAPI in blue. (O-P) negative control 16 wk CD performed with polyclonal rabbit IgG, SOCS3 localised in (Q-R) primordial follicles 16 wk CD, (S-T) primary follicles 16 wk CD. Images $oe^{i-1} \circ e^{i} \circ i \circ i \circ e^{i} \circ i \circ i \circ e^{i}$

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54	4:417-437
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020	

$$\begin{split} \ddot{Y}S^{\circ}S^{\circ}S^{\circ}i &= i \\ \dot{Y}^{\circ}Ce^{a} &C \\ \dot{Y}^{\circ}i \\ \dot{Y}^{\circ}S^{\circ}S^{\circ}i \\ \dot{Y}^{\circ}i \\ \dot{Y}$$

Cumulus cell transcriptome analysis: global transcriptome of CCs reflects body weight

Next, we repeated the protocol and subjected the animals to superovulation in order to collect CCs and analyse the transcriptome from 4 wk and 16 wk DIO protocols (Fig. 2A). A total of 50-80 CCs per animal were collected, from which RNA-seq libraries were generated using a Smart-seq2 oligo-dT method [31, 32], with separate RNA-seq libraries made from the CC from each female (see Supplementary Table 1). We then used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to study the distribution of our samples according to global gene expression $\neg @ {i \atop 3} \ddot{a}' \dot{w} \pm a' \dot{Y}^{\circ} \pm \ddot{a} \dot{Y}^{\circ} \pm \ddot{a} \dot{Y}^{\circ} \oplus \ddot{a} \dot{s}^{\circ} \oplus \textcircled{G} \oplus \ddot{s}^{\circ} \oplus \textcircled{G} \neg a^{a} i^{a} \dot{a}^{\circ} \cdot \dot{S}_{-} a \dot{s}^{\circ} \oplus \check{s}^{*} \mu \dot{Y}^{\otimes} \dot{g}^{*} i^{a} \cdot \mu'' + \cdot / \dot{X} \cdot \hat{f}_{1} i^{\otimes} \dot{f}_{1}$ we decided to include the HFDLG from the 16 wk HFD group as a control, to test whether the transcriptional response could be linked to the BW of the animals; indeed, the HFDLG samples clustered together with 16 wk CD of a similar weight (Fig. 2B). The correlation between PC1 and BW was r=0.777 (p=3.026e-06) (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Table 2), which substantiates the physiological effect driven by BW, rather than the nature of the diet itself, $a^{a} \circ \pi_{i} \cdot f^{\circ} \oplus \ddot{s}^{\circ} \oplus \ddot{s}^{i} \oplus \dot{s}^{i} \oplus \dot{s}$

Next, we aimed to identify DEGs in CCs: for this analysis, we excluded the 3 HFDLG outliers from the 16 wk HFD, so as to ensure a minimum of 13 g of BW difference between CD 16 wk and HFD 16 wk and a BW difference of 5 g between CD 4 wk and HFD 4 wk $' \pm \neg \neg_i^{\circ} \odot_i^{\circ} a^{\circ} \mathring{S}^{\circ} \mathring{L}^{\circ} \mathring{L}^{\circ} \mathring{C}_i^{\circ} \mathring{B}^{\circ} 1'_i - \mathring{S}^{a} \mathring{S}^{\circ} \mathring{\mu}^{\circ} \mathring{L}^{\circ} \mathring{S}^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{S}^{\circ} \overset{\circ}{s} \overset{\circ}{s}$

427

Cumulus cell transcriptome analysis in diet induced-obese mice reveals strong correlation with body

the 16 wk DEGs and discovered that *Nfib*was upregulated and *Ptgs2* and *Trim28*transcripts were downregulated in CCs (Supplementary Fig. 6A-C). The altered expression of these markers in CCs during late obesity might indicate direct consequences for oocyte and embryo quality, as previously proposed [24, 37–39].

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437 DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020			
DOI: 10.33594/00000228 Published online: 30 April 2020	Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437		
	DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020	429

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437		
DOI: 10.33594/000000228		
Published online: 30 April 2020		

Pharmacologically hyperleptinemic mouse model shows leptin effects in the transcriptome of cumulus cells during early obesity. (A) Experimental design: mice were fed chow diet (CD) of high fat diet (HFD) for 4 weeks (wk) (4 wk DIO) or injected with saline (CONT) or 100 µg of leptin (LEPT) for 16 days, followed by superovulation and collection of cumulus cells from cumulus-oophorus-complexes. RNA-seq $\check{s}^{a}\check{s}\mu$ $\check{\mu}$ \check{F} \check{c} \check{f}_{a} \check{f}_{a} \check{f}_{a} \check{e}^{a} \check{F} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} \check{e}^{c} `Ϭ«±®Ÿ®Ÿ` Principal component analysis of global transcriptome shows LEPT effect is the main source of variance in the Ÿš°š′¥®°'¬®₽o₽š‴œ©¬«^a;^{a°}`\$ plot presents genes differentially expressed in cumulus cells in LEPT or in 4 wk HFD, with False Discovery $(\ \texttt{x} \ll \texttt{w} \land \texttt{x} + \texttt{w} \land \texttt{y} \land \texttt{x} + \texttt{w} \land \texttt{y} \land \texttt{x} + \texttt{w} \land \texttt{w} \land \texttt{y} \land \texttt{w} \land \texttt{w} \land \texttt{y} \land \texttt{w} \land \texttt{w$ 8š°; ⁻ those in yellow upregulated by both treatments. Heatmaps presenting fold of change in expression of genes associated with the following pathways: (E) epigenetic regulation; (F) actin cytoskeleton organisation; (G) glucose metabolism; (H) long chain fatty acid oxidation in CC. Gene ontology analysis performed with Gene #^a°«¨«fµì ^a@¥ø©¦^a°¨ ^aš`µ¯¥š^aŸ*¥±š`¥š°¥^a`(««¨¨«£ /į ¨«¢®šŸ´¬;®©¥¥^a` &\$!

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437		
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		

and DNA methyltransferase (Dnmt) 3a (Fig. 4E), which suggested epigenetic dysregulation. Another important effect that could be attributed to leptin in early stages of obesity was the repression of genes mediating actin-cytoskeleton reorganisation (Fig. 4F; Supplementary Table 7). Furthermore, we assessed the potential impact of leptin on genes involved in CC $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{O}_{|}\,\,{}^{\circ}\!\!\mathrm{\check{S}} \times \,\,{}^{\circ}\!\!\mathrm{\check{Y}}^{2}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{4}^{*}\!\!\mathrm{\check{Y}}^{\circ}\!\!\mathrm{\check{r}}_{i}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^{*}_{|}\,\,\mathbb{G}_{1}^$ Here, we questioned how lack of leptin signalling could be detrimental metabolically. For instance, the oocyte is unable to metabolise glucose due to low phosphofructokinase activity [43], highlighting the importance of glycolytic activity of CCs in the generation of pyruvate [44]. This function appeared to be decreased in 16 wk HFD, which could be the result of the establishment of leptin resistance in the ovary. As a consequence, the transport of pyruvate into the oocyte would be decreased, which could directly impact the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) generation (Supplementary Fig. 9B) [45]. Leptin is also known to be key for free fatty acid (FFA) metabolism, promoting their oxidation and regulating the homeostasis of triglycerides in a cell [46, 47]. Thus, disruption of leptin signalling in 16 wk HFD CCs (Supplementary Fig. 9A) could be relevant for lipotoxicity and stress previously described in obese ovaries [48] (Supplementary Fig. 9E, 9F). In general, hyperactivation of leptin signalling in CCs seemed to be linked primarily to impaired cell membrane transport and endocytosis, but also cell metabolism and gene expression regulation.

The present study characterises the molecular mechanisms underlying the establishment of leptin resistance in the ovary of DIO mice. Furthermore, making use of sensitive methods for reduced-cell number RNA-seq, we studied the transcriptome of the somatic cells surrounding the oocyte from mice subjected to DIO for 4 wk and 16 wk, as well as validated model for pharmacological hyperleptinemia – a system presenting exclusively increased circulating levels of leptin amongst all features of obesity, which allowed us to pinpoint the exclusive effects of leptin-SOCS3 ovarian hyperactivation during early-onset of obesity.

pSTAT5 signalling *per se* could compromise oocyte maturation and fertility during obesity. Importantly, we observed that SOCS3 staining in the oocyte occurred mainly in response to ObRb activation, since the *ob/ob* mouse presented weaker staining. This suggests a direct impact of disrupted ovarian leptin signalling on oocyte quality through SOCS3 activation. Indeed, at 16 wk DIO, we observed different levels of *Socs3* transcribed in various ovarian components. Our RNA-seq data revealed that *Socs3* was increased at 4 wk HFD, but decreased at 16 wk HFD in CCs, whereas in the TC fraction it was upregulated at both time points. This may suggest blunted ObRb signalling in CCs at 16 wk HFD, once the transcription of the major components of the pathway was inhibited (Supplementary Fig. 9A). Therefore, leptin signalling in CCs seems to be highly sensitive to obesity and maternal metabolic performance.

Having an understanding of the impact of obesity on leptin signalling in the ovary, we then analysed the transcriptome of CCs from DIO mice. A major observation of this study ${}^{3} \check{s}^{-\circ} \varkappa_{i} \stackrel{\circ\circ}{}^{\circ} \bigotimes \stackrel{\circ}{*} \pounds \overset{\circ}{*} \overset{\circ}{*$

″+ ³ ¤¥愛¬®‹> š> μ˜š®; μ® ; œ šŸ¥«¯¥μ¥ °¤¥ ©«Ÿ; ¨ «ª 兌 a, í ´¬®¯¥ a¥; [[°] a«°¤; ®©š¦«®«±°œc; '«¢°¤; '°®a¯œ¥°«©; šăšμ¥ «¢, [¯ 3 𝠰¤; '¥; a°¥¥ě°¥a. of gene signatures altered in early vs late stages of obesity. After 4 wk HFD, mainly genes $\mathbf{\hat{Y}}^{2} \overset{\circ c}{\leftarrow} i \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{Y}} \overset{\circ}{\mathbf$ The use of the pharmacologically hyperleptinemic model allowed us to dissect the contribution of hyperactivation of ObRb to the major changes taking place in CCs in early obesity. Increased activation of the JAK-STAT cascade seemed mainly to impair cellular °&¢¥§¥£šaŸ¬š&o@¥; °&a⁻¢®«¢©šo@«©«ïjoeï;¯(¤¥¥§a«³a°«`›; šo@do¥ir¬&oe¯; «®¤; ©;°š>«¥œe«¬;&°¥a`; i°³;; a°¤; ««qa°; šaŸ¯«©š°¥œe¯ ; ; ```®¢¥§¥£ša`; [·]∶¦^{···}®¢**¥§**¥£š^aŸ nutrient mobilisation to the oocyte, as well as the uptake of signalling molecules from the oocyte, is fundamental for COCs expansion and oocyte maturation [23]. Indeed, the genes Micall1 and Unc-51 Like Kinase (Ulk) 4 are important mediators of endocytosis [57, 58], and were shown to be regulated by Stat3. Furthermore, amongst the genes upregulated in both 4 wk HFD and LEPT we found Lcn2 associated with lipid and hormone transport [59], *Claudine (Cldn)* 22 a component of tight junctions [60], and *Anxa11*, known to be involved in transmembrane secretion [61]. This is suggestive of the effects of leptin in altering transmembrane transport in the early-onset of obesity.

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54:417-437			
DOI: 10.33594/00000228			
Published online: 30 April 2020			

somatic cells, an instrumental system for oocyte maturation [64]. Indeed, the oocyte is in extreme need of the metabolites generated in CCs, but also signalling factors such as growth differentiation factor (GDF) 9 secreted by the oocyte and required to orchestrate CCs function. Leptin seemed to support the TCA cycle at 4 wk HFD (Supplementary Fig. 9B), which suggested to us that at this early stage the boost in leptin signalling in CCs could $\check{soe} \pm \check{s} = \check{\mu} = \check{s}^{2} + \check{\rho}^{2} + \check{s}^{2} + \check{s}^{2}$ GDF9 signalling (Supplementary Fig. 9D). However, at 16 wk HFD the inferred drop in CC maturation and responsiveness to GDF9 (Supplementary Fig. 9B-D), which invariably suggest compromised oocyte quality. The aforementioned events are an important part of COC expansion, a complex mechanism triggered by luteinizing hormone (LH), in which bidirectional exchange of metabolites and signalling factors between the oocyte and CCs leads to maturation of the gamete and resumption of meiosis [22]. This process is tightly regulated by immune mediators, particularly interleukin (IL) 6 [65]. Indeed, as well as › ¡爭£¤¥¤`¥¤°; Ÿ.爭. «±®°嗫ª¯œ¥°«©; `šªš`µ¯¥. ·°¤; `®"; `«Ç'';¬°靽. 爭. °¤; `¥. `š©©š°«@u response, in particular mediating innate immunity through IL6, has been described before [55]. Consequently, the detrimental effect of obesity could be related to increased leptin signalling at 4 wk HFD, but most likely through its failure at 16 wk HFD (Supplementary Fig. 9A). Generally, in the early stages of obesity, leptin downregulated potentially important epigenetic mediators and genes involved in cytoskeletal organisation in CCs.

(¤j šªš`µ¯¥`«¢ ³ §fl⁄ č ~ 1 fi⁻ š⁻³ j ¨Š¯°¤j ¬® ¥j «♥j ©¬«®`œšă £j ¯®²j š`; Ÿ£j ªj ¯ $2^{\circ} = 1$ $2^{\circ} = 0$ 2° cell compartments, and movement of structures within the cell [66] to be decreased in 4 wk and 16 wk HFD. Furthermore, the most increased gene in 16 wk HFD was the Guanylatebinding protein (Gbp) 8 (Supplementary Table 5), a component of cellular response to interferon-gamma [67]. Another gene upregulated at 16 wk HFD was Rhou, a gene that [·];《^a - ¥; 翊 £ š^{··} « °¤; '¤¥¤;´¬嗯 ⁻ ¥ ^a ¨; ²; ¨« ⊄¥ ¨š©©š°«啝 ® £±[·]š°;[−]·œ^{···}©«®₇¤«[·]«£µ[·] mediators at this stage, the activated pathways may well be an outcome of lipotoxicity previously described in the obese ovary [48]. Thus, during obesity ovarian cells are trying to accommodate the surplus of lipid compounds, which is likely to activate mechanisms of cellular reorganisation. Overall, early changes in CC transport, gene expression and epigenetic @f±š°¥^aš®`&``«³;Ÿ>µ©«±^a°¥f¥`š©©š°«Qu¬š°¤³šµ¯š^aŸœ[±]±š®@jš®§^af;©j^a°°« accommodate the lipid surplus. Functional studies in CCs with variable levels of leptin and $\# \& \ \tilde{s} O \cong \cong \mu \tilde{s} \otimes a_1 ; \ddot{Y}; \ddot{Y} \otimes O e^a \cong O \otimes a_1 ; \neg \otimes a_1 ; \neg \otimes a_1 ; \varphi \in A^a$

Graphical representation of the main temporal changes in the ovary of obese mice. During early obesity (4 weeks of diet-induced obesity, DIO) increased leptin signalling affects the transcriptome of cumulus cells (CCs). RNAseq analysis revealed mainly alterations in genes involved ©; ©> ®a; °®\$¢¥§¥£ ¥. cytoskeleton organisation and glucose metabolism. During late obesity (16 wk DIO) leptin resistance is established,



which causes accumulation of SOCS3 in the ovary. Transcriptome analysis of CCs at this timepoint indicated °¤; `Sœ¥š°¥a`«¢°¤; `¥``S©©š°«@ı@`¬«a⁻; `šªŸ′œ;'`±`S®šªŠ°«©¥ð:'`©«@;¤«£i a; '¥ `3 ¥¤`¥¤¥¥&a`«¢ metabolism and transport.

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54	4:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		434

In conclusion, we found that the ovaries of obese mice develop leptin resistance and that global gene expression in CCs was strikingly correlated with BW. Mechanistically, failure in ovarian leptin signalling was mediated by SOCS3 overexpression, and inhibition of pTyr985 and pJAK2. Initially, during the onset of obesity the hyperactivation of leptin signalling was "\$si \ddot{Y} °« \$c \mathfrak{P} si \ddot{Y} °, ' \mathfrak{P} ^o='\$^a «C \mathfrak{E}_i ^a; ' \mathfrak{R} ® \mathfrak{P} ^o=' \mathfrak{R} si \ddot{Y} ° \mathfrak{P} °« \$si \ddot{Y} °« \$c \mathfrak{R} si \mathring{Y} 'si \mathring{R} 'si \mathring{R} 'si \mathring{Y} 'si \mathring{R} 'si $\mathring{$

Cell Physiol Biochem 2020;54	4:417-437	
DOI: 10.33594/000000228 Published online: 30 April 2020		435

- 1 Schelbert KB: Comorbidities of Obesity. Prim Care Clin Off Pract 2009;36:271–285.
- 2 Pasquali R, Patton L, Gambineri A: Obesity and infertility. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2007;14:482–487.

- [·] Ž«ၛ⁻⁻šš®(¾ © © ! i ^a¤š^aœ©i^a°⁻š^aŸ©«Ÿ¥¥ǧ°¥^{a--}«¢¬ଔ©i®Ÿi⁻¥a⁻¬֎£®©`\$௸Oi® ‴¥¥¢œ©š°¥ē[·] 2007;23:1289–1291.
- 29 Galvão A, Wolodko K, Rebordão MR, Skarzynski D, Ferreira-Dias G: TGFB1 modulates *in vitro* secretory activity and viability of equine luteal cells. Cytokine 2018;110:316–327.
- 30 Zhao S, Fernald RD: Comprehensive Algorithm for Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction. J Comput Biol 2005;12:1047–1064.
- 31 Picelli S, Björklund ÅK, Faridani OR, Sagasser S, Winberg G, Sandberg R: Smart-seq2 for sensitive full-length °𝔅^a ¯𝔅𝔆[°] «©¡ ¬𝔅 ¥¥£¥ ¯¥£; ˙œ; ¯ " š°!; i°¤«Ÿ⁻
- 32 Picelli S, Faridani OR, Björklund ÅK, Winberg G, Sagasser S, Sandberg R: Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. Nat Protoc 2014;9:171–181.
- 33 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S: Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014;15:550.
- 34 Duan J, Choi Y-H, Hartzell D, Della-Fera MA, Hamrick M, Baile CA: Effects of Subcutaneous Leptin Injections «^a flµ¬« °¤š'𩥿lij^ai 'S® ¥i ¯¥ žiš^a š^aŸ'«> «> !¥e '#>i¯¥µ'
- 35 Eden E, Lipson D, Yogev S, Yakhini Z: Discovering Motifs in Ranked Lists of DNA Sequences. PLoS Comput Biol 2007;3:e39.
- 36 Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z: GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics 2009;10:48.
- [°] ⁻⁻ [«]±¹ fl𫱶¥[°] ! 𤩫±ŸŽ[°] [«]±šœ; ଔš[°] fl±¥[°]; ©¥[°] Sš^a[°]; ⁻∞e^{*} &{©; ([°]; ₀@š±Ÿfl[°]; *«⁻1 flš©š©š¤¹ [°] ^a«^a ¥²š⁻¥; [°]; ⁻°œ®š⁻⁻; ⁻¥£; ©>‰w⁻, [«][°]; ^a°¥⁻)µ£; ^a; [°]; [′]¬®⁻⁻¥^a¬® ¥; ⁻«¢¤±©š^a cumulus cells: a proof of concept study. Mol Hum Reprod 2008;14:711–719.
- 38 Gebhardt KM, Feil DK, Dunning KR, Lane M, Russell DL: Human cumulus cell gene expression as a

4:417-437	
	437
	4:417-437